ANN BAVENDER' ANNE GOODWIN CRUMP VINCENT J. CURTIS, JR. RICHARD J. ESTEVEZ PAUL J. FELDMAN ROBERT N. FELGAR* RICHARD HILDRETH FRANK R. JAZZO ANDREW S. KERSTING* EUGENE M. LAWSON, JR. HARRY C. MARTIN GEORGE PETRUTSAS RAYMOND J. QUIANZON LEONARD R. RAISH JAMES P. RILEY KATHLEEN VICTORY HOWARD M. WEISS

NOT ADMITTED IN VIRGINIA

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

11th FLOOR, 1300 NORTH 17th STREET ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209-3801

(703) 812-0400

RECEIVED

TELECOPIER (703) 812-0486

INTERNET

www.fhh-telcomlaw.com

DEC 21 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FRANK U. FLETCHER (1939-1985) ROBERT L. HEALD (1956-1983) PAUL D.P. SPEÁRMAN (1936-1962) FRANK ROBERSON (1936-1961) RUSSELL ROWELL (1948-1977)

EDWARD F. KENEHAN (1960-1978)

CONSULTANT FOR INTERNATIONAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS SHELDON J. KRYS

> OF COUNSEL EDWARD A. CAINE* MITCHELL LAZARUS* FOWARD S. O'NEILL' JOHN JOSEPH SMITH

WRITER'S DIRECT

December 21, 1998

703-812-0403 feldman@fhh-telcomlaw.com

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Magalie Salas, Esq. Secretary **Federal Communications Commission** 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20054

Re:

CS Docket No. 98-201

Satellite Delivery of Network Signals to Unserved Households

for Purposes of the Satellite Home Viewer Act

Reply Comments of the New Mexico Broadcasters Association

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed, on behalf of the New Mexico Broadcasters Association, are the original and 11 copies of its Reply Comments in CS Docket No. 98-20.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Very truly you

Counsel for

The New Mexico Broadcasters

Association

PJF/jr **Enclosures**

CC:

Mr. Don Fowler (w/encl.) International Transcription Service

No. of Copies rec'd ListABCDE

ORIGINAL

Before the Federal Communications Commiss RECEIVED Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 21 1998

	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
In the Matter of	
Satellite Delivery of Network Signals)	CS Docket No. 98-201
to Unserved Households for	RM No. 9335
Purposes of the Satellite Home)	RM No. 9345
Viewer Act)	
Part 73 Definition and Measurement)	
of Signals of Grade B Intensity	

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE NEW MEXICO BROADCASTERS ASSOCIATION

The New Mexico Broadcasters Association ("NMBA"), by their attorneys, hereby file these Reply comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, released November 17, 1998, in the above-captioned proceeding ("Notice"). NMBA urges the Commission to reject proposed modifications to the definition of Grade B signal intensity that would impermissibly and irrationally reduce a station's protected Grade B area to a minor fraction of its existing service area, thus substantially impairing the ability of stations to provide free over-the-air local service to their communities, and to low income rural viewers who obtain their only service through the extensive network of translators operated by NMBA stations.

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 1)
List A B C D E

I. Introduction

As was noted in its initial Comments in this proceeding, Members of NMBA operate full power, network affiliated television stations. 1 Each of these stations have, for many years, provided high quality service to their communities, including important news, weather, public affairs, public service announcements and other programming directed to meet the needs of their communities for <u>local</u> information. Furthermore, in order to provide service to <u>rural low income viewers disbursed throughout the 160,000 square miles</u> of New Mexico, the NMBA affiliate stations operate an extensive network of <u>298 translators</u>. See Exhibit A to NMBA Comments. However, as discussed extensively in the NMBA Initial Comments, the production costs of producing local programming and maintaining a substantial translator network are high, and the proposals in this proceeding, which could reduce the area of exclusivity for NMBA stations by over 70 percent, seriously threaten the economic basis for the production and broadcast of local programming, and more importantly, the operation of this extensive translator network.

NMBA network affiliate stations are as follows: KASY-TV, Albuquerque (UPN); KOAT-TV, Albuquerque (ABC); KOB-TV, Albuquerque (NBC); KRQE(TV), Albuquerque (CBS); KOCT(TV), Carlsbad (ABC); KVIH-TV, Clovis (ABC); KOBF(TV), Farmington (NBC); KHFT(TV), Hobbs (UPN); KZIA(TV), Las Cruces (UPN); KBIM-TV, Roswell (CBS); KOBR(TV), Roswell (NBC); KASA-TV, Sante Fe (FOX); KOVT(TV), Silver City (ABC).

II. References in the Comments to the Need to Provide
Network Signals to Rural Viewers Should Not be Taken
to Mean That All or Most Rural Viewers are in "Unserved Households".

In these Reply Comments, NMBA addresses again the issue of service to low income rural viewers. Numerous commenters, both broadcasters and satellite carriers, noted evidence that in enacting SHVA, Congress primarily intended to enhance the delivery of network signals to a small number of viewers who cannot receive such signals over-the-air, and that such viewers are often located in rural areas.² However, NMBA seeks to ensure that the Commission does not confuse a basic principle of SHVA: that Congress intended to promote satellite delivery of network service only to truly unserved homes. While many of the small class of "unserved homes" are in rural areas, that does not mean that most rural homes fall under the definition of "unserved". Indeed, as was shown in the Initial Comments of NMBA, through an extensive network of translators, the NMBA member stations provide high quality free over-the-air service to rural viewers throughout the State of New Mexico.

The importance of recognizing the distinction between "unserved" households and rural households is that the Commission should not take actions designed to generically increase satellite delivery of service to households in rural areas, just because they are in rural areas, and regardless of whether such households meet the definition of "unserved". Such an approach would not only contradict Congressional

² E.g., House Report (II) at 20 states: "The Committee believes that this approach will satisfy the public interest in making available network programming in these (typically rural) areas, while also respecting the public interest in protecting the network-affiliate distribution system."

on an economically viable system of translators that deliver <u>free</u> programming over-theair to all viewers. The greatest disservice would be to undercut the system that delivers free programming to rural low income viewers who cannot afford satellite TV services.

III. The Satellite Carriers Failed to Provide Justification for Use of the TIREM Methodology in Connection With SHVA.

One of the major proposals articulated in the comments of the satellite carriers is the need for the Commission to adopt the "TIREM" methodology to determine whether a household can receive a signal of Grade B intensity. See, e.g., Comments of Satellite Communications and Broadcasting Association ("SBCA") at page 15, Comments of EchoStar Communications Corporation at page 8, Comments of Primestar Partners at page 21. The Commission, however, just recently rejected the use of the TIREM methodology in predicting service and interference for DTV. Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration of the Sixth Report and Order In the Matter of Advanced Television Systems, 13 FCC Rcd 7418, (1998) ("DTV Reconsideration Order").

In its *DTV Reconsideration Order*, the Commission notes that in choosing a methodology for calculating service areas and interference, a number of alternative propagation models including both Longley-Rice and TIREM were considered. The Commission nevertheless determined that the Longley-Rice propagation model was the most appropriate model by which to measure service and interference for the digital television system that will be operated in this country well into the 21st century.

Furthermore, in the DTV Reconsideration Order, the Commission explicitly rejected the TIREM methodology for two very good reasons: TIREM is proprietary in nature and furthermore, TIREM can yield very different results depending upon its implementation. In the present proceeding, none of the satellite carriers made any valid showing which should lead the Commission to now use TIREM for SHVA purposes. One commenter, SBCA, states that TIREM is non-proprietary. In its Engineering Statement, however, SBCA fails to provide any viable explanation of why TIREM is non-proprietary, asserting only that "given that there will be a modest number of potential customers for efficient and easy to use software, it's likely that commercial software vendors will package suitable offering for that user community". At best, this statement is inconclusive and, in fact, is not responsive to the "proprietary" issue at all. Moreover, none of the satellite carriers addressed the Commission's concern that TIREM results can yield different results depending on its implementation, nor did they disprove the Commission's conclusion that parties using the Longley-Rice model will be able to achieve the same results. This need for uniformity is vital and cannot be ignored. Furthermore, while the satellite carriers suggest that TIREM's analysis of vegetation makes it more accurate than Longley-Rice, the Carriers appear to ignore the fact that vegetation patterns themselves change throughout the year, calling into question such alleged additional accuracy.

In sum, in light of the Commission's recent finding that the Longley-Rice propagation methodology is the most appropriate model for calculating <u>DTV</u> service and interference over-the-air, it would be irrational for the Commission to use a <u>different</u> and

previously rejected methodology in determining over-the air signal strength for <u>SHVA</u> purposes.

IV. Conclusion

SHVA froze the definition of Grade B signal intensity, for SHVA enforcement purposes, to the standards then in existence in 1988. However, even if the Commission did have the authority to modify those standards for SHVA purposes, any significant modification would reduce the viewers of network affiliate stations, reducing the revenues of those stations, and inevitably forcing those stations to reduce the provision of <u>free</u> over-the-air <u>local</u> programming. Such a result would be contrary to Congress' intent in enacting SHVA, and contrary to the Commission's own principles of localism. The Commission must reject, as unreasonable and beyond its authority, proposals to modify the Congressionally mandated definition of "unserved household".

Respectfully submitted,

Vincent J. Curtis, Jr.

Frank R. Jazzo Paul J. Feldman

Counsel for The New Mexico
Broadcasters Association

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, PLC 1300 North Seventeenth Street, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22209 703/812-0400

December 21, 1998